UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---------------------------|-----------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/582,026 | 03/06/2007 | Michelle Heaton | HO-P03328US0 | 1362 |
| | 7590 04/29/200 & JAWORSKI, LLP | EXAMINER | | |
| 1301 MCKINN | | FONTENOT, NIGEL RAI | | |
| SUITE 5100 HOUSTON, TX | X 77010-3095 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 3768 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 04/29/2009 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| Office Action Summary | | Applica | Application No. | | Applicant(s) | | | |
|--|---|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|------------------------------|--------------|--|--|--|
| | | 10/582, | 026 | HEATON ET AL. | | | | |
| | | Examin | er | Art Unit | | | | |
| | | NIGEL F | ONTENOT | 3768 | | | | |
| Period fo | The MAILING DATE of this commu or Reply | nication appears on t | he cover sheet wit | th the correspondence ac | idress | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | | | | | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | | | |
| | Responsive to communication(s) file | ed on 08 June 2006 | | | | | | |
| 2a)□ | • | | non-final | | | | | |
| 3)□ | <i>/</i> — | | | | | | | |
| ٥)ا | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | | |
| Dienociti | on of Claims | loo anaon Ex parto s | (day)0, 1000 0.D | . 11, 100 0.0. 210. | | | | |
| · · · | | | | | | | | |
| • | Claim(s) <u>1-4</u> is/are pending in the application. | | | | | | | |
| | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | | | |
| , | 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | | |
| | Claim(s) <u>1-4</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | | | |
| • | Claim(s) is/are objected to. | -4: | | | | | | |
| 8)[_] | Claim(s) are subject to restri | ction and/or election | requirement. | | | | | |
| Applicati | on Papers | | | | | | | |
| 9)🛛 | The specification is objected to by the | ne Examiner. | | | | | | |
| 10)🛛 | The drawing(s) filed on <u>08 June 200</u> | <u>06</u> is/are: a)⊠ accep | oted or b)∏ objed | cted to by the Examiner. | | | | |
| | Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | | | |
| | Replacement drawing sheet(s) including | g the correction is requ | ired if the drawing(| s) is objected to. See 37 Cl | FR 1.121(d). | | | |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. | | | | | | | | |
| Priority ι | ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. | | | | | | | | |
| | 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No | | | | | | | |
| | 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage | | | | | | | |
| | application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | | | | | | | |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| Attachmen | t(s) | | | | | | | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) | | | | | | | | |
| 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Notice of Informal Patent Application | | | | | | | | |
| | mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date <u>9/28/2006</u> . | | 5) Notice of In 6) Other: | | | | | |
| · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | | | | | | | |

Application/Control Number: 10/582,026 Page 2

Art Unit: 3768

DETAILED ACTION

This action is responsive to the application filed June 8, 2006. Applicant's preliminary amendment filed June 8, 2006 has been entered. Claims 5-6 have been canceled. Claims 3-4 have been amended. Claims 1-4 are now pending.

Specification

1. The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.

The following title is suggested: "Method of Diagnosing Feline Odontoclastic Resorptive Lesions using Radiographs"

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claim 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 4. Claim 1 recites "a method of diagnosing FORL comprising taking no more than seven radiographic views of teeth from the mouth of a feline animal, wherein at least one view is of each of the mandibular third premolar teeth." There is no positively recited step for "diagnosing FORL" as recited in the preamble, and therefore the claim is indefinite. Claims 2-4 also do not positively recite a step for diagnosing FORL.

Application/Control Number: 10/582,026 Page 3

Art Unit: 3768

Additionally, claim 1 recites the limitation "FORL" in claim line 1. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim. Acronyms need to be defined in the claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* **v.** *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
 - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
 - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
 - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
 - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 7. Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ingham et al. (Prevalence of odontoclastic resorptive lesion in a population in clinically healthy cats).
- 8. Addressing claims 1-4, Ingham discloses diagnosing cats for feline odontoclastic resorptive lesions (FORL) using radiographs. Ingham discloses that FORL Ingham discloses that the most commonly affected teeth were the third mandibular premolars. Ingham screens the cats for FORL using both parallel and bisecting angle techniques.

Ingham uses statistics and graphs to show the presence of FORL (see abstract and "Introduction" sections on page 439, "ORL screen procedure" and "Statistics" sections on page 441, and "Discussion" and "Conclusion" sections on page 443). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to optimize the number of radiographs taken of the cats, including taking no more than two radiographic views of the teeth, to minimize the cat's exposure to x-rays, and still screen for FORL.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to NIGEL FONTENOT whose telephone number is (571)270-7032. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday (7:00a-4:00p).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Long Le can be reached on 571-272-0823. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/582,026 Page 5

Art Unit: 3768

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/N. F./ Examiner, Art Unit 3768 April 15, 2009

/Long V Le/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3768